

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.              | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/670.176                   | 09/23/2003      | Scott J. Woolley     | COMP.01USU1             | 2241             |  |
| 27479                        | 7590 05/02/2006 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
| COCHRAN FREUND & YOUNG LLC   |                 |                      | TADESSE, YEWEBDAR T     |                  |  |
| 2026 CARIBOU DR<br>SUITE 200 |                 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| FORT COLLINS, CO 80525       |                 |                      | 1734                    |                  |  |
|                              |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 05/02/2006 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  |   |   | $\mathcal{D}$  |
|--|--|---|---|----------------|
| `  |  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |                |
| Office Action Summary  |  | 10/670,176  | WOOLLEY ET AL.  |                |
|  |  | Examiner  | Art Unit  | - <del>-</del> |
|  |  | Yewebdar T. Tadesse   | 1734  |                |
| <br>Period for   | The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the  | correspondence addres   | s              |
| WHICH - Extensi<br>after SI<br>- If NO p<br>- Failure<br>Any rep                 | RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1. cause the application to become ABANDONE  | N. nely filed the mailing date of this commur D (35 U.S.C. § 133) | ·              |
| Status   |  |   |   |                |
| 2a)□ T<br>3)□ S  | tesponsive to communication(s) filed on<br>his action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This<br>ince this application is in condition for allowan<br>losed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>  | action is non-final.<br>nce except for formal matters, pro  |   | its is         |
| Dispositio   | n of Claims  |   |   |                |
| 42<br>5) □ C<br>6) ☑ C<br>7) □ C<br>8) □ C<br>Application<br>9) □ Th<br>10) ☑ Th | laim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.  a) Of the above claim(s) 1 and 3-6 is/are withdelaim(s) is/are allowed.  laim(s) 2 and 7-16 is/are rejected.  laim(s) is/are objected to.  laim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are specification is objected to by the Examiner are drawing(s) filed on 23 September 2003 is/are objected to the deplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction              | relection requirement.  relection requirement.  re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected or by the objected or by t | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |                |
| 11)∐ Tr  | e oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa  | aminer. Note the attached Office  | Action or form PTO-15   | 52.            |
| Priority un  | der 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |                |
| a) <u>□</u><br>1.<br>2.<br>3.  | knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign   All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau the attached detailed Office action for a list of   | have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | on No<br>ed in this National Stago                                | e              |
| Attachment(s)  |  |   |   |                |
| t)   | f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) fon Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) fo(s)/Mail Date 07282004.  | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa   |   |                |

Application/Control Number: 10/670,176 Page 2

Art Unit: 1734

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of II in the reply filed on 02/23/2006 is acknowledged.

2. Claims 1 and 3-6 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 02/23/2006.

### Claim Objections

3. Claims 15-16 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 15, line 3 the phrase "fixed mounted" appears to be grammatically incorrect. Appropriate correction is required. For the purpose of examination "fixedly mounted" is assumed. In claim 16, line 3 the word "linear" appears to be misspelled. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/670,176

Page 3

Art Unit: 1734

- 5. Claims 2 and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kastel (US 2,419,951). Kastel discloses (see Fig 1) a closure sealant applicator machine for dispensing sealant to a periphery of non-circular closure comprising; a sealant dispenser substantially fixedly mounted (in the dispensing mode) to the sealant applicator machine; a chuck adapted (26) to hold the closure in a plane; a rotational motor (16) in rotational communication with the chuck for rotating the closure, the chuck adapted to rotate with the non-circular closure along an axis substantially perpendicular to the plane (see Fig 3, for the chuck moving along with the rotating pinion 10 perpendicular to the drive shaft 9); a translational mechanism adapted to linearly move the chuck with the non-circular closure along at least one axis within the plane; and a controller adapted to simultaneously rotate and translate (see Figs 4-5 for the arrows showing the rotational and translational movements of the chuck, the chuck translating linearly while rotating) the closure with respect to the sealant dispenser to maintain the periphery of the closure in alignment with the sealant dispenser while the sealant dispenser dispenses the sealant.
- 6. Claims 2, 7-10, 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Larry John Lohmann (US 3,575,131).

With respect to claims 2, 7 and 8, Lohmann discloses (see Fig 1 and column 3, lines 16-53) an apparatus for dispensing sealant to a periphery of non-circular closure (annular sealing surfaces) comprising: a sealant dispenser (47) substantially fixedly mounted to the sealant applicator machine; a chuck (article holder 16) adapted to hold

Art Unit: 1734

the closure in a plane; a rotational motor (30) in rotational communication with the chuck for rotating the substrate, the chuck (16) adapted to rotate along an axis substantially perpendicular to the plane; a translational mechanism (carriage 17 with motor 19) adapted to linearly move the chuck along at least one axis within the plane; and a controller adapted to simultaneously rotate and translate said closure with respect to the sealant dispenser to maintain the periphery of said closure in alignment with the sealant dispenser while the sealant dispenser dispenses said sealant (see claim 1).

As to claim 9, in Lohmann (see Fig 2) the linear motion is driven by cam (24 and 31).

As to claim 10, in Lohmann the linear motion is produced by the servomotor (19).

With respect to claim 11, in Lohmann the rotational motion is coupled by a spline and gears (chain 25 and gears 27, 29).

As to claim 13, in Lohmann (see Fig 1 and 3) the rotational motor is mounted below the chuck (16) and coupled with a rigid shaft.

Regarding claim 15, in Lohmann (see Fig 1) both the translational mechanism and rotational motor are fixedly mounted.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/670,176

Art Unit: 1734

prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g)

Page 5

9. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kastel (US 2,419,951) as applied to claim 8 and further in view of Keating (US 2,896,378).

Kastel discloses a rotational motor (16) mounted below the chuck (26), however a motor coupled to a flexible shaft is not taught in Keating. Yet, Keating discloses (see Fig 3) a motor coupled to the assembly of shaft (24 and 28) with a flexible drive shaft (flexible coupling 5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include flexible drive shaft in Kastel to tighten and loosen the connection or to drive in rotation.

10. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lohmann (US 3,575,131) as applied to claim 8 and further in view of Isozaki et al (US 2003/0184744).

Art Unit: 1734

Lohmann lacks teaching a rotating motor mounted on a moving linear slide. However it is well known in the art to mount a rotating motor on a slide to attain both sliding and rotating movement of the work support; for instance Isozaki et al discloses (see Fig 2) a motor (4) mounted on a slide stage (20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to mount Lohmann's rotating motor (30) on a linear slide to simplify the dispensing apparatus substrate moving means.

11. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lohmann (US 3,575,131) as applied to claim 8 and further in view of Rutledge et al (US 6,391,387). Lohmann lacks teaching multiple motors and dispensers mounted on a rotating turret and the linear motion derived by the rotation of the turret around a cam. Rutledge discloses (see Figs 1, 4 and 8) multiple motors (230 and 290) and dispensers mounted (gun provided in each application station) on a rotating turret (13) and the linear motion derived by the rotation of the turret around a cam. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include multiple motors and dispensers mounted on a rotating turret wherein the linear motion derived by the rotation of the turret around a cam in Lohmann to treat plurality of substrates in mass at one time facilitating the treatment process.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yewebdar T. Tadesse whose telephone number is (571)

Application/Control Number: 10/670,176 Page 7

Art Unit: 1734

272-1238. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 AM-4: 30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Fiorilla can be reached on (571) 272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kennelm ( - 6